



Magisterial District Reestablishment Report

39th Judicial District

Franklin and Fulton Counties

2022 – 2031

REVISED PLAN

Issued: April 1, 2022

NOTICE OF PROPOSAL

REESTABLISHMENT OF THE MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS WITHIN THE 39TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

FRANKLIN COUNTY and FULTON COUNTY

April 1, 2022

NOTICE is hereby given that a revised proposal to reestablish the Magisterial Districts within the 39th Judicial District (Franklin County and Fulton County) has been prepared and is available for examination and review through May 1, 2022 at the following locations:

- 1. The office of the District Court Administrator, 1st Floor – Franklin County Courthouse, Chambersburg, PA;**
- 2. All Magisterial District Court Offices in the 39th Judicial District; and**
- 3. On the Court’s website in Franklin County at www.franklincountypa.gov and in Fulton County at www.co.fulton.pa.us**

Written comments regarding the proposal may be directed to:

**Mark Singer
District Court Administrator
39th Judicial District
14 N. Main Street
Chambersburg, PA 17201
msinger@franklincountypa.gov**

All written comments must be received no later than 11:59:59 pm May 1, 2022 and shall be based on this plan as proposed by President Judge Meyers and not be based on any other source of information not previously authorized for release by President Judge Meyers.

Media inquiries regarding the state-wide process should be directed to Stacey Witalec, Communications Director, 717-231-3324

Issued by the authority of: The Honorable President Judge Shawn D. Meyers, 39th Judicial District

**Magisterial District Judges – 39th Judicial District
Franklin & Fulton Counties
Proposed Changes Summary Sheet**

District Judge	Magisterial District	Contact Information	Add	Remove
Glenn K. Manns	39-2-01 Borough of Chambersburg	218 N. Second Street Chambersburg, PA 17201 717-263-5808	N/A	N/A
Annie Ramona Gomez Shockey	39-3-02 Borough of Waynesboro Washington Twp	22 North Oller Avenue Waynesboro, PA 17268 717-762-9411	N/A	N/A
David L. Plum	39-3-03 Hamilton, Fannett, Lurgan, and Letterkenny Twp	P.O. Box 135, 9724 Cumberland Hwy, Pleasant Hall, PA 17246 717-532-7672	This District Court is proposed to be eliminated effective 1/2/2028	
Kristin D. Nicklas	39-3-04 Boroughs of Orrstown and Shippensburg (West End). Greene and Southampton Twp	P.O. Box 460, 1157 Garver Lane, Scotland, PA 17254 717-263-7949	Lurgan Township, Letterkenny Township	N/A
Duane K. Cunningham	39-3-05 Borough of Greencastle Antrim Twp	401 S. Washington Street Greencastle, PA 17225 717-597-8581	N/A	N/A
Jody C. Eyer	39-3-06 Borough of Mercersburg, Metal, Montgomery, Peters, St. Thomas, and Warren Twp	20 Veteran's Way Mercersburg, PA 17236 717-328-3521	Hamilton Township, Fannett Township	N/A
Kelly L. Rock	39-3-07 Guilford and Quincy Twp Borough of Mont Alto	2038 B Lincoln Way East Chambersburg, PA 17202 717-263-5820	N/A	N/A
Devin C. Horne	39-4-01 Wells, Taylor, Dublin, and Licking Creek Twp	P.O. Box 8, 27952 Great Cove Road, Ft. Littleton, PA 17223 717-987-3221	Turnpike traffic filings from Brush Creek Township practice will continue/remain unchanged as has been past practice	N/A
David A. Washabaugh, V	39-4-02 Borough of McConnellsburg Todd and Ayr Twp	208 N. Second Street McConnellsburg, PA 17233 717-485-4842	N/A	N/A
Tamela Mellott Heming	39-4-03 Borough of Valley-Hi Union, Bethel, Thompson, Brush Creek, and Belfast Twp	8366 Great Cove Road Needmore, PA 17238 717-573-2225	N/A	N/A

Revised Decennial Magisterial District Reestablishment Plan for the 39th Judicial District – Franklin & Fulton Counties

Decennial reestablishment of magisterial districts is mandated by 42 Pa.C.S.A. §1503 which requires that the Court reestablish the numbers and boundaries of the magisterial districts the year after the census figures are certified by the Census Bureau. The Census figures were compiled and released for use by the court in the second half of 2021. Under 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 1501, the “Court” is defined as, “The Supreme Court or the court of common pleas of each judicial district under the direction of the Supreme Court.”

In advance of the reestablishment process the administrative leadership of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, AOPC, provided guidance to President Judges and District Court Administrators in a memorandum explaining the past history of reestablishment and the criteria to apply when determining the number of magisterial districts in each county. Part of the reestablishment process was the creation of the Magisterial District Reestablishment Subcommittee of the Intergovernmental Task Force to Study the District Justice System, (“Subcommittee), in 2001. The Subcommittee was comprised of two president judges of the courts of common pleas, two district justices, (now known as Magisterial District Judges), two district justice court administrators, and a member of the state police. Staff support was provided through the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC). As part of the report issued by the Subcommittee, the following statement provided: *The overall objective of the subcommittee was to ensure that the district justice system emerging from the reestablishment process is efficient and provides the highest quality of justice to the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.* (Emphasis added.)

Ultimately the Subcommittee made seven recommendations to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. The 7 recommendations were:

1. Magisterial district reestablishment proposals should include a thorough review of population statistics and population trends using 1990 and 2000 census data.
2. Magisterial district reestablishment proposals should include a systematic analysis of current district justice/Magisterial District Judge caseload statistics and caseload trends.
3. Magisterial district reestablishment proposals should minimize unnecessary travel time and related impediments to public access.
4. Magisterial district reestablishment proposals should establish caseload equity within the judicial district.
5. Where the proper administration of justice requires a departure from caseload equity, magisterial district reestablishment proposals should set forth the specific grounds for the departure.
6. The President Judge should by public notice invite written comments from the public regarding magisterial district reestablishment issues. In addition, the President Judge may seek comments from court users.
7. Following adoption of magisterial district reestablishment guidelines by the Supreme Court, the AOPC should promulgate procedures and forms to implement the guidelines.

A subsequent 2011-2012 Weighted Caseload Study was performed to update the standards that AOPC applied to Magisterial District Judges' caseloads.

Ever since the process of reestablishment of Magisterial Districts has been undertaken to comply with the statutory requirements, the issue of the necessity of magisterial districts given caseload disparities within districts has existed. The 2001 report of the Subcommittee emphasizes that trying to achieve caseload equity was a principle task of the Subcommittee. In order to do that, the Subcommittee analyzed various systems to determine not only caseloads, but to properly determine the "weight" a case should be accorded. (Ex. An out of state driver receives a speeding ticket. The driver never appears before the court, agrees to the finding of fault and pays the ticket using online bill pay or mails in a payment to the magisterial district office. The process never requires the Magisterial District Judge to take evidence and issue a decision, but does require staff time to process the filings and payment in the case. On the other hand a contentious Landlord/Tenant, or Homeowner/Contractor case may require several hours or days of hearings on the part of the Magisterial District Judge.) With these considerations in mind, a system of caseload analysis was developed by the Administrative Office of the Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC), in consultation with the Subcommittee.

This court understands the goals of case equity as a basis to justify the need for the number of Magisterial District Judges in each judicial district. As the report cautions, significant case inequities be it case filings, versus workloads, within a county begs the question, why should one or two Magisterial District Judges bear the load of case dispositions within a district while others do not? Disparities in the processing of case filings, frequently associated with traffic cases, can be addressed through the addition of staff or the reduction in staff within offices, which is the responsibility of the President Judge and can be easily handled in coordination with the affected Magisterial District Judge's offices, Court Administration and County fiscal representatives.

Reestablishment is the only way to address disparities in Magisterial District Judge workloads. Significant disparities in workloads of Magisterial District Judges are harder to justify to the public, taxpayers and media. Disparities prompt questions as to efficiencies, fairness and necessity. As a result it is not surprising that in order to justify the number of Magisterial Districts, Magisterial District Judges, staff and offices, the balancing of case numbers and caseloads are a desired goal of reestablishment, as set forth in the Subcommittee report and stressed in the memorandum to President Judges and Court Administration issued in 2021.

Despite the desire to promote efficiency and equity in the allocation of case work, there are other special factors which are to be considered when deciding reestablishment. Reliance upon special factors must be explained, including pros and cons, if they are to be given greater consideration by a President Judge. Failure to adequately explain the reasons for relying upon special factors versus achieving equitable caseload distribution may prompt further investigation or a request for explanation by the AOPC and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. Due to each county's or district's unique features, be they geographic, population changes, and development issues, availability of transportation or lack thereof, municipal police, and other governmental factors, equitable distribution within narrow margins is very difficult. **Despite those difficulties, the AOPC adopted a standard and directed each President Judge and District Court Administrator to create districts which had margins of difference in workload equity within +15% to -15%.** The AOPC has supplied case filing and weighted caseload data and the Pennlive investigative report data for use and consideration in deciding what is the proper ratio of caseload distribution, workload distribution and number of magisterial districts.

The undersigned judge has traversed both counties in the district, evaluated the data provided by AOPC and in the initial report published January 28, 2022 for comment attempted to achieve the goals as outlined by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court and AOPC. In response to its publication, public comment was received by District Court Administrator Mark Singer from elected officials, Magisterial District Judges, the Pennsylvania State Police Association and the public, which the President Judge has reviewed with great care. In consideration of the commentary received, the President Judge instructed the District Court Administrator Mark Singer to create a revised plan and provide revised forms with the calculations in accordance with the new plan. The forms containing the calculations are attached hereto. This document provides the reasoning for the plan, with explanations and reasoning, (both pro and con), as to why it should be adopted by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. Presumably the explanations will allow those at AOPC and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court as well as those reviewing the plan to understand that the President Judge has carefully considered the directives of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, AOPC, the concerns of community, taxpayers, and elected officials, and the special considerations within each county to insure the desired efficiency, equity and access to justice.

The costs of the operation and maintenance of each magisterial district office district to the taxpayers of the Commonwealth generally in the form of judge salaries and benefits, and to the local taxpayers in the costs for staff and office maintenance, is not a specific criteria to consider in developing the plan. However, the greater equity that can be achieved, the less concern there will be as to the necessity for the existence of a magisterial district which had significant disparities, or lack of caseload and/or workload, when compared to other magisterial districts. For reasons set forth below, the court provides reasoning why the Pennsylvania Supreme Court may or may not elect to

accept the reasoning of the President Judge. Ultimately the President Judge will comply with any directives of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court if further changes are deemed appropriate.

I. Fulton County/Magisterial Districts 39-4-01, 39-4-02, 39-4-03

There is no suggested change in the physical boundaries of the three magisterial districts.

The court submits the following reasons for maintaining the status quo, despite significant disparities in percentages in case filings and workload.

Pros for maintaining the status quo:

- Fulton County is an 8th class county.
- Fulton County has multiple mountain ranges and ridges which dissect the county creating unique geographic and topographic features that affect the layout of the primary roadways within the district.
- The county has two major highways, the Pennsylvania Turnpike and Interstate 70 that traverse significant portions of the county, but not near the highest population center.
- There is no sitting Common Pleas Judge in the county every day. Common Pleas Judges appear on a rotating schedule and as needed. Providing access to a Magisterial District Judge for an emergency order is supported by providing 3 Magisterial District Judges strategically placed in the north, south and in the most populated borough, (McConnellsburg).
- No county government officials have asserted that the annual costs of maintenance of three offices including costs for staff, and office costs is a burden to the county tax payers on an annual or long term basis.

- There is no report that any Magisterial District Judge or the current staffing is unable to handle the case filings or workload despite the noticeable disparities. In fact on the contrary, the Magisterial District Judge who has the largest caseload affirmatively asserts that the judge can easily handle the caseload without assistance or need for any reallocation of cases.
- Second highest average filings for 8th Class Counties. (AOPC Reestablishment Data for 2021).
- Highest average traffic filings for all 8th Class Counties. (AOPC Reestablishment Data for 2021).
- Only law enforcement entity in the county is the Pennsylvania State Police, headquartered near McConnellsburg.
- Administrative Orders can allow for the reassignment of the handling of traffic cases on the major interstates, which can be entered and revoked by the President Judge at any time without affecting the boundaries of a magisterial district.
- Maintaining the existing districts permits a small county with limited resources to avoid the process of producing new electoral maps and ballots for the voters in the county.
- Maintaining municipal boundaries of townships and boroughs within magisterial districts permits municipal officials to have consistency in the processing of municipal code enforcement cases.
- No reliable public transportation anywhere within the district.
- Fulton County had a slight increase in population over the decade per the U.S. Census data provided to AOPC.

Cons for keeping the status quo:

- Fulton County is comprised of 50% fewer square miles, (437 square miles for Fulton County versus 1,081 square miles for

Potter County), when comparing the area to be served by the only other 8th Class County which has 3 Magisterial District Courts.

- There are six 8th Class Counties in Pennsylvania. Fulton County has 437 square miles. Four other counties are served by 1 Magisterial District Court with three having similar square mileage as Fulton County;
- Fulton County has: 1/5th of the case filings in criminal cases versus the statewide average; 1/5th of the case filings in non-traffic cases versus the statewide average; 1/10th of the case filings in private criminal cases versus the statewide average; 1/7th of the case filings in private summary cases; 1/6th of the case filings in civil cases versus the statewide average; 1/21st of the case filings in Landlord/Tenant cases versus the statewide average; 1/4th of the case filings in miscellaneous cases versus the statewide average; (AOPC Reestablishment Data for 2021).
- Fulton County is below the average case filings for 8th Class Counties in all categories, except private criminal cases and traffic cases.
- One Magisterial District has been identified as one which has the lowest active days for hearings conducted statewide in the Pennlive investigation.
- The way in which to achieve caseload and workload equity is to reduce the district by at least one magisterial district upon the conclusion of a Magisterial District Judges' term.

II. Franklin County/Magisterial Districts to be reduced from 7 to 6. Reestablish Districts 39-2-01, 39-3-02,39-3-05 and 39-3-07; Realign Districts 39-3-04 & 39-3-06; Eliminate District 39-3-03 .

Pros for reestablishing the districts which includes a reduction by one from seven to six:

- One cannot ignore that municipal police departments are experiencing strain due to budgetary cuts and increased expenses and operating costs and challenges in the changes in policing methods. Under the proposed reestablishment plan there will be an emphasis on preserving the community safety provided by municipal or private/university police departments. Five of six magisterial districts have at least one municipal or private/university police force which will be served by the magisterial district, (39-2-01/Chambersburg Police Department), (39-3-02/Waynesboro Police Department & Washington Township Police Departments), (39-3-05 /Greencastle Police Department), (39-3-06/Mercersburg Police Department), (39-3-07/Penn State Mont Alto Police Department). District (39-3-04/Pennsylvania Police Department) is located 8/10ths of a mile of the Pennsylvania State Police Barracks. In an era of concern for increased safety for the community, by keeping magisterial district offices in a borough or township, or immediately adjacent thereto, where there is a municipal or private police department, there is a minimization of travel for the law enforcement officers when attending hearings for the enforcement of traffic and summary cases, thus reducing travel and prolonged absences from the areas in which they have jurisdiction. All municipal police departments and the Pennsylvania State Police have been conducting Preliminary Hearings at Central Court which has been in place for 20+

years, one day per week. Reestablishment will not affect that process or the staffing of municipal law enforcement and Pennsylvania State Police on those days.

- Under reestablishment four of six magisterial districts have at least one municipal police force, (39-2-01/Chambersburg Police Department), (39-3-02/Waynesboro Police Department & Washington Township Police Departments), (39-3-05/Greencastle Police Department), (39-3-06/Mercersburg Police Department) in the district. One district (39-3-04/Pennsylvania Police Department) is located 8/10ths of a mile of the Pennsylvania State Police Barracks. As a result for four of six districts, there is at least one additional police department that can provide a prompt response in the event there is a security incident, in addition to the response that will be provided by the Pennsylvania State Police and the Franklin County Sheriff's Department. The district eliminated does not contain a municipal law enforcement department or one that can provide response to a security event.
- Analogous to the same reasoning as that the court has used as an argument for maintaining three judges in Fulton County, this plan maximizes the use of the most dependable roadways to afford access to the magisterial district offices. The realigned districts either maintain or establish a way for township residents to use the primary roadways within each district to access the district offices, without traversing a significant mountain ridge in the northwest portion of the county. The length of mileage to be traveled is not the only consideration. The type of roadway is of considerable importance as well. The mountain ridge in the northwest corner of the county is so significant that construction of the Pennsylvania Turnpike includes a tunnel through the Blue Mountain. Citizens in the townships formerly in 39-3-03, but now in the newly realigned 39-3-06, will be able to access the office via State Route 75, which provides a reliable state

maintained route the entire length of Path Valley. As for townships formerly in 39-3-03, now in the newly realigned 39-3-04, they can access the district office via State Route 997. There is no requirement to traverse a significant mountain road, State Route 641, to access a district office.

- Under this realignment plan, no boroughs or townships are split, thus providing townships and boroughs consistency in the location and filing of cases for code or municipal enforcement.
- Under this plan, the jurisdictions with actual provable development, both commercial and residential, are maintained. Wal-Mart has confirmed major warehousing and distribution centers and development plans in 39-3-04 and 39-3-05. 39-3-06 has confirmed development of a major poultry operation that has prompted concerns from government officials within the Borough of Mercersburg and the surrounding townships regarding the large truck traffic that will impact the roads and traffic patterns and effects on law enforcement efforts.
- Under realignment, workload disparities, although not within the margins of +/- 15% established by AOPC, is much more equitable. Retaining 39-3-03 as is with a -23% in caseload filings and workload of -41%, coupled with 39-3-06 which also has low caseload numbers without modification, prevents meaningful efforts at trying to achieve equity in caseloads and workloads. Under the proposed plan, when evaluating caseload numbers: 39-2-01 was at 11% and will now move to 15%; 39-3-02 remains the same at 3%; 39-3-04 moves from 8% to 29%; 39-3-05 moves from -20% to -22%, but has projected significant growth, and by administrative order can be assigned Interstate 81 traffic filings to achieve balance. 39-3-06 was -41% now is at 12% and 39-3-07 was at 22% remains the same. In the overall review there is greater

equity and balance of case filings among the six district courts.

- As was cautioned in the Subcommittee report, one or two Magisterial District Judges should not be asked to shoulder significantly larger workloads within a district. Under realignment, the weighted workload for the two districts that carry the largest load, 39-2-01 and 39-3-02 are now having their workloads reduced from 59% to 45% and 47% to 30% respectively. Although it will be seeing an increase in case filings, District 39-3-04 has a projected reduction in workload from 4% to 2.3%. 39-3-05 will see a projected reduction from -32% to a -46% but as explained, through an administrative order can be assigned Interstate 81 cases from adjoining districts, as that route runs through the district, and the commercial development along Interstate 81 and residential development in Antrim Township has been established with certainty. 39-3-06 will see a workload that drops from -35% to -14%, but is now within the +/- 15%. 39-3-07 will see a projected change from -2% to -18%. The reduction in significant negative percentages substantiates in a majority of the districts the need to preserve the remaining Magisterial District Judges and district offices to the taxpayers, the public, county fiscal officials and media.
- No Magisterial District Judge has advised the court that the judge cannot handle the existing workloads.
- Franklin County is under the statewide and 4th Class County averages in the following categories: Criminal filings; Non-traffic filings; Traffic filings; Civil filings; Miscellaneous filings. Franklin County is under the statewide average in the following category: Landlord/Tenant.
- Financial considerations. As the committee that established the standards for reestablishment stresses, while there is always a need for assuring access to justice, there is also a

need for efficiency as well. Efficiency means that where justice can be provided, but taxpayers can be afforded economic relief, elimination of districts needs to be scrutinized and implemented where possible. It is noted that there have been elimination of districts in past reestablishment plans statewide. Currently there are at least 9 districts statewide proposing elimination of at least one magisterial district. Logic dictates that maintaining the status quo, just to maintain the status quo, is an inadequate reasoning for maintaining the current number of magisterial districts. Where there is an opportunity to reduce judicial/government expenditures, serious consideration must be given to same.

According to AOPC data, the average annual savings for the Magisterial District Judge Salary, Benefits and Retirement is \$177,500.00. With the proposed elimination not occurring until 2028, there will be projected annual Cost of Living increases which makes the projected number of annual savings in judicial salary greater than current projections; The estimated average savings of annual expenses for maintenance of the office, (not including district employee wages or benefits), is approximately \$41,500.00. Of course with inflation and the constant increase in operating costs, the annual costs will also increase year to year.

There have been documented security issues at various magisterial district offices statewide. In the event additional safety provisions can be implemented in Magisterial District Offices, one less office to monitor and man with security staff will be a savings for the Court/Sheriff/County, who would be responsible for providing same.

- Despite significant growth and development in 39-3-05, case filings and workloads have not seen the reasonably anticipated increases in filings in criminal and civil filings. Ergo, not all increases in development automatically mean more work or demands for judicial services in a district. (What explains the phenomenon? The populace is generally more law abiding? The law enforcement departments are not as active?) Whatever the reasons, in all instances development does not equal increases in workloads for magisterial districts. Thus a reduction from seven to six districts based on the data and projected future growth is appropriate for the county.
- Six Magisterial District Judges can still provide on call, after hours and Central Court coverage.

Cons against eliminating a Magisterial District Court and maintaining the status quo:

- There will be an increase in caseloads and workloads for the two Magisterial District Judges and staff which will absorb the townships in the eliminated district. Staff can be added to address case filing dispositions at any time through the efforts of the President Judge, Magisterial District Judges and Court Administration staff.
- Franklin County has experienced population growth and within the last decade was increased from a 5th Class to a 4th Class County. Population growth is usually a predictor of increased caseload and need for judicial services in a county.
- Franklin County exceeds the state and 4th Class County average for filings in the following categories: Private Criminal; Private Summary cases. Franklin County exceeds the 4th Class County average for filing in the following category: Landlord Tenant. (AOPC Reestablishment Data for 2021).

- Some citizens may experience increases in drive times, but few would have to drive in excess of the 30 minute allowance as described in the Subcommittee report.
- One fewer Magisterial District for cases to be processed for the Pennsylvania State Police.
- Magisterial District Judges may have less time for secondary employment if their judicial workloads increase.
- One fewer Magisterial District Judge to handle after hours/on-call obligations and Central Court duties.
- The proposed plan does not achieve the equitable goal of workload distribution of +/- 15% as directed by AOPC.
- No taxing authority or local government has suggested that a district be eliminated.

In conclusion the President Judge respectfully submits that the AOPC and the Pennsylvania Supreme Court approve the attached plan for reestablishment for the 39th Judicial District's magisterial districts.

Hon. Shawn D. Meyers
President Judge, 39th Judicial District

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-4-01	County:	Fulton
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 2,878	B. 2,794	C. 1,813
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		84	2nd out of 3
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		1065	58 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 9,454	B. 11,213	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-1,759	-16 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges. District's average is less than 1% of the 15% higher/lower range (it is actually -15.7% which was rounded to -16%).			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Devin C. Horne	12/31/23	12/31/50	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
27952 Great Cove Road, Ft. Littleton, PA 17223			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
PA Turnpike, US RT 522, US RT 30			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Dublin Township, Licking Creek Township, Taylor Township, Wells Township			
By Administrative Order of the President Judge, Traffic filings on the PA Turnpike in Brush Creek will continue to be filed in 39-4-01, not 39-4-03.			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
This district remains unchanged.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-4-02	County:	Fulton
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 1,483	B. 2,794	C. 1,813
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-1311	3rd out of 3
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-330	-18 %
<p>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</p> <p>The President Judge may issue an Administrative Order moving Traffic filings on I-70 in Bethel Township from 39-4-03 to 39-4-02. This would increase total caseload for 39-4-02.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 10,508	B. 11,213	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-705	-6 %
<p>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</p>			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
David A. Washabaugh, V	1/2/28	12/31/59	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
208 North Second Street, McConnellsburg, PA 17233			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
US RT 30 and US RT 522			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Ayr Township, McConnellsburg Borough, Todd Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-4-03	County:	Fulton
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 4,021	B. 2,794	C. 1,813
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		1227	1 out of 3
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		2208	121 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 13,660	B. 11,213	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		2,447	22 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			
<p>The President Judge may issue an Administrative Order moving Traffic filings on I-70 in Bethel Township from 39-4-03 to 39-4-02. This would reduce the workload for district 39-4-03 creating a more equitable distribution of workload.</p>			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Tamela M. Heming	12/31/23	12/31/44	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
8328 Great Cove Road, Suite 100, Needmore, PA 17238			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Interstate 70, US RT 522			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Belfast Township, Bethel Township, Brush Creek Township, Thompson Township, Union Township and Valley-Hi Borough			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-2-01	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 4,626	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		715	2 out of 7
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		476	11 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 50,928	B. 32,006	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		18,922	59 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges. Elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination, will improve equity.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Glenn K. Manns	12/31/23	12/31/29	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
218 N. Second Street, Chambersburg, PA 17201			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Chambersburg Borough Police Department and Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
US RT 11 and US RT 30			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Borough of Chambersburg			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
A second Magisterial District Summary worksheet has been completed to capture the change in averages as a result of realignment and elimination of other districts.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-2-01	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 4,754	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		843	3rd out of 6
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		604	15 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 54,065	B. 37,317	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		16,748	45 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			
Elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination, has improved equity from 59% above to 45% above.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Glenn K. Manns	12/31/23	12/31/29	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
218 N. Second Street, Chambersburg, PA 17201			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Chambersburg Borough Police Department and Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
US RT 11 and US RT 30			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Borough of Chambersburg			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
This is the second Magisterial District Summary worksheet to capture the change in averages as a result of elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-02	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 4,254	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		343	4 out of 7
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		104	3 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 47,078	B. 32,006	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		15,072	47 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges. Elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination, will improve equity.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Annie R. Gomez Shockey	12/31/23	12/31/57	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
22 North Oller Avenue, Waynesboro, PA 17268			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
Housing proposed in Washington Township with commercial development proposed.			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Waynesboro Borough Police Department, Washington Township Police Department and Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
PA RT 16, RT 997 and RT 316			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Borough of Waynesboro and Washington Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
A second Magisterial District Summary worksheet has been completed to capture the change in averages as a result of realignment and elimination of other districts.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-02	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 4,294	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		383	5 out of 6
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		144	3 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 48,653	B. 37,317	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		11,336	30 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges. Elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination, has improved equity from 47% above to 30% above.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Annie R. Gomez Shockey	12/31/23	12/31/57	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
22 North Oller Avenue, Waynesboro, PA 17268			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
Housing proposed in Washington Township with commercial development proposed.			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Waynesboro Borough Police Department, Washington Township Police Department and Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
PA RT 16, RT 997 and RT 316			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Borough of Waynesboro and Washington Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
This is the second Magisterial District Summary worksheet to capture the change in averages as a result of elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number: 39-3-03		County: Franklin	
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Eliminate		2. Effective date: 1/2/2028	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 3,179	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-732	6 out of 7
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-971	-23 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 18,829	B. 32,006	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-13,177	-41 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges. Proposal is to eliminate this district.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
David L. Plum	1/2/28	12/31/40	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
9724 Cumberland Hwy., Pleasant Hall, PA 17246			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
300 homes approved in Pleasant Hall pending relocation of rare reptiles.			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
PA Turnpike, RT 997, RT 75 and US RT 11			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Hamilton Township, Fannett Township, Lurgan Township, Letterkenny Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			No
Proposal is to eliminate this district and realign with 39-3-04 and 39-3-06.			
20. Additional Comments:			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-04	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Realign		2. Effective date: 1/2/2028	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 4,490	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		579	3 out of 7
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		340	8 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 33,282	B. 32,006	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		1,276	4 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Kristin D. Nicklas	1/4/26	12/31/58	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
1157 Garver Lane, Scotland, PA 17254			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
<small>Approved housing development (single family detached and townhouses) total of 77. Proposal for 292 homes in a residential housing development. Walmart Distribution Center recently opened in Southampton Township in 12/2021.</small>			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Interstate 81, US RT 11 and US RT 30			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Greene Township, Orrstown Borough, Shippensburg Borough (West End) and Southampton Township.			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			No
Lurgan Township and Letterkenny Township from 39-3-03.			
20. Additional Comments:			
A second Magisterial District Summary worksheet has been completed to capture the change in averages as a result of realignment and elimination of other districts.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-04	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Realign		2. Effective date: 1/2/2028	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 5,368	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.	<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>		<i>Ranking Total</i>
	1457		1st out of 6
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.	<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>		<i>% Above/Below</i>
	1218		29 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 38,161	B. 37,317	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>		<i>% Above/Below</i>
	844		2 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Kristin D. Nicklas	1/4/26	12/31/58	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
1157 Garver Lane, Scotland, PA 17254			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
<small>Approved housing development (single family detached and townhouses) total of 77. Proposal for 292 homes in a residential housing development. Walmart Distribution Center recently opened in Southampton Township in 12/2021.</small>			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Interstate 81, US RT 11 and US RT 30			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Greene Township, Orrstown Borough, Shippensburg Borough (West End) and Southampton Township.			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			No
Lurgan Township and Letterkenny Township from 39-3-03.			
20. Additional Comments:			
This is the second Magisterial District Summary worksheet to capture the change in averages as a result of elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-05	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 3,298	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-613	5 out of 7
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-852	-20 %
<p>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</p> <p>This district has actual probable development, both commercial and residential, which equates to projected growth.</p> <p>The President Judge can, by Administrative Order, assign I-81 Traffic filings to achieve a more equitable caseload balance.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 21,707	B. 32,006	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-10,299	-32 %
<p>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</p> <p>No Magisterial District Judge has advised the court that the Judge cannot handle their existing workloads.</p>			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Duane K. Cunningham	12/31/23	12/31/42	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
401 South Washington Street, Greencastle, PA 17225			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
<small>Norfolk Southern Intermodal project has resumed operations. 600 homes approved for development in Antrim Township. New warehouse being built and expected to bring 1,000 jobs.</small>			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Greencastle Borough Police Department and Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Interstate 81, US RT 11, US RT 16			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Antrim Township and Greencastle Borough			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
A second Magisterial District Summary worksheet has been completed to capture the change in averages as a result of realignment and elimination of other districts.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-05	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 3,254	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-657	6th out of 6
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-896	-22 %
<p>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</p> <p>Possible/potential increase in caseloads as a result of growth expansion as described in #15 of this worksheet.</p>			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 20,325	B. 37,317	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-16,992	-46 %
<p>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</p> <p>Possible/potential increase in workload as a result of growth expansion as described in #15 of this worksheet.</p>			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Duane K. Cunningham	12/31/23	12/31/42	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
401 South Washington Street, Greencastle, PA 17225			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
<small>Norfolk Southern Intermodal project has resumed operations. 600 homes approved for development in Antrim Township. New warehouse being built and expected to bring 1,000 jobs.</small>			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Greencastle Borough Police Department and Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Interstate 81, US RT 11, US RT 16			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Antrim Township and Greencastle Borough			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
This is the second Magisterial District Summary worksheet to capture the change in averages as a result of elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-06	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Realign		2. Effective date: 1/2/2028	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 2,458	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		-1453	7 out of 7
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-1692	-41 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 20,900	B. 32,006	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-11,106	-35 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Jody C. Eyer	12/31/23	12/31/33	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
20 Veterans Way, Mercersburg, PA 17236			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
Poultry farm expected to bring 600 jobs. New commercial entities currently under construction.			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Mercersburg Police Department and Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
RT 416, US RT 30, PA RT 16, PA RT 75			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Borough of Mercersburg, Metal Township, Montgomery Township, Peters Township, St. Thomas Township, Warren Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			No
Hamilton Township and Fannett Township from 39-3-03.			
20. Additional Comments:			
A second Magisterial District Summary worksheet has been completed to capture the change in averages as a result of realignment and elimination of other districts.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-06	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Realign		2. Effective date: 1/2/2028	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 4,645	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		734	4th out of 6
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		495	12 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 32,026	B. 37,317	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-5,291	-14 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Jody C. Eyer	12/31/23	12/31/33	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
20 Veterans Way, Mercersburg, PA 17236			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			Yes
Poultry farm expected to bring 600 jobs. New commercial entities currently under construction.			
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Mercersburg Police Department and Pennsylvania State Police			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
RT 416, US RT 30, PA RT 16, PA RT 75			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Borough of Mercersburg, Metal Township, Montgomery Township, Peters Township, St. Thomas Township, Warren Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			No
Hamilton Township and Fannett Township from 39-3-03.			
20. Additional Comments:			
This is the second Magisterial District Summary worksheet to capture the change in averages as a result of elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-07	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 5,073	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		1162	1 out of 7
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		923	22 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 31,306	B. 32,006	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-700	-2 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Kelly L. Rock	1/2/28	12/31/40	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
2038 B Lincoln Way East, Chambersburg, PA 17202			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police, Penn State Mont Alto Campus Police Department			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Interstate 81, US RT 30, US RT 997, US RT 11			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Guilford Township, Mont Alto Borough, Quincy Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
A second Magisterial District Summary worksheet has been completed to capture the change in averages as a result of realignment and elimination of other districts.			

Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

Magisterial District Court Number:	39-3-07	County:	Franklin
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date:	
Caseload Analysis			
3. Average total caseloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>
	A. 5,053	B. 3,911	C. 4,150
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>	<i>Ranking Total</i>
		1142	2nd out of 6
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.		<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		903	22 %
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range and you are proposing to reestablish (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
Workload Analysis			
7. Average total workloads:	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	
	A. 30,672	B. 37,317	
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.		<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i>	<i>% Above/Below</i>
		-6,645	-18 %
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload and you are proposing to reestablish this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.			
District's average is less than 3% of the 15% higher/lower range (it is actually -17.8% which was rounded to -18%).			

Magisterial District Information			
10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:			
Kelly L. Rock	1/2/28	12/31/40	
<i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	<i>Birthdate</i>	<i>Term Expiration Date</i>	<i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:			
2038 B Lincoln Way East, Chambersburg, PA 17202			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?			Yes
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?			Yes
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES , please summarize your response below.			No/Not Sure
16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.			
Pennsylvania State Police, Penn State Mont Alto Campus Police Department			
17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.			
Interstate 81, US RT 30, US RT 997, US RT 11			
18. List the current municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click HERE for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.			
Guilford Township, Mont Alto Borough, Quincy Township			
19. Are the proposed municipalities the same as above? If NO , please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).			Yes
20. Additional Comments:			
This is the second Magisterial District Summary worksheet to capture the change in averages as a result of elimination of 39-3-03, and subsequent realignment as a result of that elimination.			